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## ABSTRACT

The problem popularly known as Fermat's last Theorem ("Prove $a^{n}=b^{n}+c^{n}$ for $n$ greater than 2 does not exist") has remained unsolved for about 350 years.
I converted the statement of the problem to "Prove $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$ for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2 does not exist", which means the same. A variable m is introduced which is always even and $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{a}$. The equality $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$ is established as a turning point which is the breakthrough for solving the problem.
Key words:Fermat Lost Proof 350 years Old
AMS Subject Classification: 11-XX Number theory

## I. INTRODUCTION

Pierre Fermat (1601-1655), a busy lawyer, came across a copy of the book "THE ARITHMETIC OF DIOPHANTUS "when he was 30 years old. Fermat developed a hobby of working out the ancient problems of DIOPHANTUS and putting in the margins of the book notes of any proofs he had discovered. In connection with the equation $a^{2}=b^{2}+c^{2}$ DIOPHANTUS had $a$ problem - "Divide a square into two squares." Fermat, generalising this wrote in the margin "On the other hand it is impossible to separate a cube into two cubes, or a biquadrate into two biquadrates or generally any power except a square into two powers of the same exponent. I have discovered a truly marvellous proof of this, which however the margin is not large enough to contain." This marvellous proof of Fermat was never found.

Mathematicians have been trying ever since Fermat's death to rediscover the proof or prove Fermat wrong. The Gottingen Academy of Sciences had given the Wolfskehl prize to Andrew Wiles in 1997 for solving the problem.

Here, I give a very simple and elegant proof created by me that supports Fermat's statement.
It has already been established that it is only needed to prove Fermat's last Theorem for mutually prime values of $a, b$, and $c$. This is because
If $(\mathrm{d} x \text { a })^{\mathrm{n}}=(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{xb})^{\mathrm{n}}+(\mathrm{dxc})^{\mathrm{n}}$ then $\mathbf{d}^{\mathrm{n}}$ can be taken out as common factor to reach the equality with mutually prime values $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c .
Let us consider only mutually prime values for $a, b$, and $c$, all values being different. No two of $a, b$, and c can be even as they are mutually prime. Only one of them is even and the other two are odd. Let $a$, and $c$, be odd and $b$, even.
Let $\mathbf{a}$ be the biggest number, $\mathbf{b}$ smaller than $\mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ smaller than $\mathbf{b}$.
The case where $\mathbf{a}$, is even, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, odd will be considered later.

Let us introduce a new variable $\mathbf{m}$, which is equal to $(b+c)-a$. As $\mathbf{a}$, is odd and $(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})$, also is odd, $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$, is always even. When $\mathbf{a}$, is even, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ are odd, then also $(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$, is even.
Depending on the values of $a, b$, and $c, m$ can take any even number value from 0 to infinity. So, $m$ can be written as $\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2}$ where $\mathbf{n}$ takes values from 1 to infinity. As a general even number $\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2}$ is taken to include 0 as the starting value of $\mathbf{m}$.

Let us first redefine the problem in order to simplify the solution. The actual problem "Prove
$a^{n}=b^{n}+c^{n}$ for $n$ greater than 2 does not exist" can be rewritten as "Prove $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$ for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2 does not exist". Both mean the same thing.
Turning Point nature of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$
For different values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ it is possible to have $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}>0$, or $<\mathbf{0}$ and for some $n, a^{n}$ -$b^{n}-c^{n}$ equal to zero. The variable $\mathbf{n}$ is reused as it also takes values from 1 to infinity.

$$
a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0 \text { is a zero-value turning }
$$ point for values of $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, as for those values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, only, the value of $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}\right.$ $c^{n}$ ) becomes positive for the very first time for a particular value of $\mathbf{n}$. At the turning point, the value of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ becomes positive for the very first time. For these values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, there will also be cases where

$(a-2)^{n}-(b-2)^{n}-c^{n}<0$ and,
$(a+2)^{n}-(b+2)^{n}-c^{n}>0$
Here, the values of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ only are altered by 2 to keep the value of $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$ the same and keep $\mathbf{a}$ as odd and $\mathbf{b}$ as even to maintain $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ mutually prime..

The equality $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$ if it exists, lies between

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a-2)^{n}-(b-2)^{n}-c^{n} \text { and } \\
& (a+2)^{n}-(b+2)^{n}-c^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Another way to say that $a^{n}-b^{n}-$
$c^{n}=0$, if it exists, is a turning point is by saying that it lies between $a^{n-1}-b^{n-1}-c^{n-1}<0$ and $a^{n+1}$ $-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}+1}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}+1}>\mathbf{0}$
If $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=\mathbf{0}$ exists then, for all $\mathbf{k}$ less than $\mathbf{n}$, $\left(a^{k}-b^{k}-c^{k}\right)$ should be less than 0 and for all $\mathbf{k}$ greater than $\mathbf{n},\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ should be greater than 0 . This is true for the positive-value turning point also.
Detailed numerical study on turning point nature is given in Appendix 2.
Let us find 3 consecutive values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ in terms of $\mathbf{m}$.
In this case $(\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b})$ and $(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{c})$ are equal to 1 and the values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ form a smallest possible set for a particular value of $\mathbf{m}$ as they are close together.

The value of $\mathbf{a}$ is greater than $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{b}$ is greater than $\mathbf{c}$ for the equality $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$, interchanging $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ if necessary.
The values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ for a particular value of $\mathbf{m}$ can be written as
$\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{3}$,
$\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{2}$, and
$\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{m}+1$.
Here $\mathbf{m}$ is equal to $\mathbf{2 n - 2}$, an even number depending on the value of $\mathbf{n}$ which can be from 1 to infinity as stated earlier.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{m} \quad+3 \\
& \mathrm{a}=2 \mathrm{n}-2+3(\text { as } \mathrm{m}=2 \mathrm{n}-2) \\
& \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{2 n}+\mathbf{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation

## \{1\}

$$
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{m} \quad+2
$$

$\mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{n}-2+2($ as $\mathrm{m}=2 \mathrm{n}-2)$
$b=2 n$
Equation \{2\}
$\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{n}-2+1($ as $\mathrm{m}=2 \mathrm{n}-2)$
$\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{2 n}-1$

## Equation

## \{3\}

From the above equations it is also seen that $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}$, and $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}$. The values of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ depend on $\mathbf{c}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c} \quad-\mathrm{a} \\
& \mathrm{m}=\{2 \mathrm{n}+(2 \mathrm{n}-1)\}-(2 \mathrm{n}+1)(\text { as } \mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{c}= \\
& 2 \mathrm{n}-1, \text { and } \mathrm{a}=2 \mathrm{n}+1) \\
& \quad \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation \{4\}

This value of $m$ is even for all values of $n$. Also, this becomes the minimum required value for a turning point value of $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}\right)$ for any value of $n$.
The values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ provide three consecutive integer values.
When $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}$ the values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ are,
$\mathbf{a}=2 \mathrm{n}+1=\mathbf{3}$,
$\mathbf{b}=2 \mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2}$, and
$\mathbf{c}=2 \mathrm{n}-1=\mathbf{1}$.
The value of $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}=(2+1)-3$ $=\mathbf{0}$ for this case.

From the Equation $\{\mathbf{4}\}$ for $m$ also, the value of $\mathbf{m}=2 n-2=2 \times 1-2=2-2=\mathbf{0}$.
$a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$.
$3^{1}-2^{1}-1^{1}=\mathbf{0}$.
The above is a zero-value turning point for $\mathbf{n}=1, \mathrm{a}=3, \mathrm{~b}=2, \mathrm{c}=1$, and $\mathrm{m}=0$. And this turning point zero has occurred for the smallest set of integer values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$.

For these values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}$ $b^{n}-c^{n}=3^{2}-2^{2}-1^{2}=9-4-1=4$, a positive value, even for the smallest possible values of $a, b$, and $c$. Hence, it is not possible for having a zerovalue turning point for $\mathrm{n}=2$ when $\mathrm{m}=0$. In fact for $\mathrm{m}=0$, it is not possible for having a zero value turning point for any n greater than 1 . This is because the minimum required value of $\mathbf{m}$ for a turning point is $\mathbf{2 n - 2}$ which cannot be zero for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 1.

It can be stated that for $m=0$ and all its multiples (all of which happen to be zero), the zero-value turning point for ( $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ ) can occur for one and only one value of $n$, that is for $\mathbf{n}=1$. This is KESAVAN NAIR's Postulate number one for zero-value turning points.
The turning point values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ depend on the value of $\mathbf{n}$, based on the equations $\{1\},\{2\}$, and $\{3\}$.and for $n$ greater than 1 , no two turning point can be equal.
If we increase $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ by 2 we get
$5^{1}-4^{1}-1^{1}=\mathbf{0}$.
Here also the value of $\mathbf{m}$ is zero as in the equation for $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$. The value of $\mathbf{b}$ is positive and $\mathbf{a}$ is negative and the increase gets cancelled. Infinite mutually prime values for a and $\mathbf{b}$ can be got by increasing $\mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{b}$, by 2 repeatedly and keeping $\mathbf{c}$ fixed in order to keep $\mathbf{m}$ fixed at zero. Adding an even number keeps $\mathbf{a}$ as odd and $\mathbf{b}$ as even.

In the case where $\mathbf{a}$, is even, $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ odd also, it is possible to have a zero-value turning point for $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1}$. The smallest possible values we can get for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{1}$, ie $4^{1}-3^{1}-$ $1^{1}=\mathbf{0}$. The only difference is that the numbers 3 and 1 are with a difference of 2 . Adding 2 to $\mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{b}$, we get $\mathrm{a}=6, \mathrm{~b}=5$, and $\mathrm{c}=1$ and the turning point value $6^{1}-5^{1}-1^{1}=\mathbf{0}$. In this way also infinite zero-value turning points can be got for $\mathrm{n}=1$ and $\mathrm{m}=0$.

If we increase $\mathbf{c}$ by 2 , we get $\mathrm{a}=6, \mathrm{~b}=5$, and $\mathrm{c}=3$. The Value of $\mathbf{m}$ becomes 2. The value of $6^{2}-5^{2}-3^{2}=36-25-9=2$, a positive valueturning point for the minimum values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ when $\mathbf{a}$, is even $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ odd, and $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$. As the positive turning point value has come for the very first set of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$, no zero-value turning point can occur for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 1 , when $\mathbf{a}$ is even, $\mathbf{b}$ and codd.

Coming back to the case where a and c are odd and $\mathbf{b}$ even, we have to increase the value of $\mathbf{c}$ by 2 to get the next value of $\mathbf{m}$, as adding 2 to $a$ and $b$ creates no change in $\mathbf{m}$. After adding 2, the value of $\mathbf{c}$ becomes 3 , value of $\mathbf{b}$, becomes $\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}=$ 4 , value of $\mathbf{a}$, becomes $\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}=5$, the value of $\mathbf{m}$ becomes $=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}=(4+3)-5=\mathbf{2}$.

As $\mathbf{m}=2, \mathbf{2 n - 2}=2, \mathbf{2 n}=4$ or $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$.
The value of $\mathbf{a}^{2}-\mathbf{b}^{2}-\mathbf{c}^{2}$ becomes $5^{2}-\mathbf{4}^{2}-3^{2}=0$, a zero-value turning point for $n=2$. The zerovalue turning point exist for all non-zero multiples of $m$, only the values of $a, b$, and $c$ will not be mutually prime in those cases.
Equation for turning point for all $\mathbf{n}$ : The general case
Let us consider the general case for the turning point $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}\right)$ for the smallest values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{m}$. We have $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{+ 1}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{2 n}$, and $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{- 1}$ from Equation \{1\}, Equation \{2\}, and Equation $\{\mathbf{3}\}$. Let us find $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ algebraically.

$$
\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}=(2 \mathrm{n}+1)^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

$$
=(2 \mathrm{n})^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{nC}_{1}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-1)} 1^{1}+\mathrm{nC}_{2}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-2)}
$$

$$
1^{2}+\mathrm{nC}_{3}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-3)} 1^{3}+\ldots
$$

$$
b^{n}=(2 n)^{n}
$$

$$
c^{n}=(2 n-1)^{n}
$$

$$
=(2 \mathrm{n})^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{nC}_{1}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-1)} 1^{1}+\mathrm{nC}_{2}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-2)}
$$

$$
1^{2}-\mathrm{nC}_{3}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-3)} 1^{3}+\ldots
$$

$\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$

$$
=-(2 n)^{n}+2 \mathrm{nC}_{1}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(n-1)} 1^{1}+2 \mathrm{nC}_{3}(2 n)
$$

(n-3) $1^{3}$
$+.$.
$=-(2 \mathrm{n})^{\mathrm{n}}+(2 \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{x}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}+2 \mathrm{nC}_{3}(2 \mathrm{n})^{(\mathrm{n}-}$
3) $1^{3}+\ldots$


The right hand side of the equation $\{5\}$ vanishes when $\mathbf{n}=2$,
Showing that $\mathrm{a}^{2}-\mathrm{b}^{2}-\mathrm{c}^{2}=0$ or $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ is possible.

When $\mathbf{n}$, is greater than 2 the right hand side of the Equation $\{5\}$ is always a positive value and cannot be zero. So the very first turning point is always a positive value and not zero for all values of $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2 , the value of $\mathbf{m}$, being equal to ( $\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2}$ ), which depends on $\mathbf{n}$.

To get the prior value of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ for the same value of $\mathbf{m}$, the number 2 can be subtracted from a , and b , without changing c .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}=(2 n+1)-2=(\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{1}) \\
& \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2} \text { and } \\
& \mathbf{c}=(\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{1}) \\
& a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=(2 n-1)^{n}-(2 n-2)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}=-\underset{\text { Equation }}{(2 \mathbf{n}-2)^{\mathbf{n}}}
$$

\{6\}
This is always negative for all values of $\mathbf{n}$ showing that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=(2 n+1), \\
& c=(2 n-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Are the minimum values for the turning point $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$.

The Equation $\{5\}$ and Equation $\{6$ ) establish the fact that the values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ got in Equation $\{1\}$, Equation $\{2\}$, and Equation $\{3\}$, are the very first turning point values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ for $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}\right)$ for all $\mathbf{n}$. Only in the case of $n=$ 1 and $n=2$ the turning point value is zero. For all other values of $\mathbf{n}$ greater than $2, \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}$, is greater than $\left(b^{n}+c^{n}\right)$ and $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}\right)$ is a positive value at the turning point. This is valid for the infinite values of n.

Once $a^{n}$, has become greater than $\left(b^{n}+c^{n}\right)$ at the very first turning point, any increase in the values of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ by multiples of 2 will cause the difference $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}\right)$ to become more and more. As $\mathbf{c}$, is not altered, the value of $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$, remains the same.
The right-hand side of the Equation $\{5\}$ contains only positive terms for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2 . Hence it will always give different value for different $\mathbf{n}$, increasing with the value of $\mathbf{n}$. So, no two turning points for $\left(a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}\right)$ can be equal for different values of $n$.
The equation $\{5\}$ is valid for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ that are minimum values obtainable for each $n$. There are infinite turning points for mutually prime values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$.

For every odd value of c greater than or equal to $\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{- 1}$, turning points exist. It is possible to have zero-value turning points for mutually prime values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$, for all non-zero multiples of $\mathbf{m}$ as shown in the summary of result obtained from Appendix 1. For $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2, there are no zero-value turning points as shown in the worked out examples in Appendix 1.

For all n greater than 2 , the turning point values are different and greater than zero.

The details of calculations are in Appendix 1.

Turning point value for $m$ greater than the minimum value ( $2 \mathrm{n}-2$ )

When we add two to the minimum value of $m=2 n-2$, we get $m=2 n$. Let us have new and general values for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c . In the general values $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c need not be close together as in the case where minimum values only were considered. Here also $a$ is greater than $b$, and $b$ is greater than $c$. The values of $a$ and $c$ are odd, and $b$ even, let us have three variables $i, j$, and $k$ where $i$ is greater than j which is greater than k , which maintain the same hierarchy as $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c .
Let us write the general values of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c in terms of m , maintaining $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c , mutually prime.

```
As a is odd \(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{m}+\) an odd number \(=2 \mathrm{n}\)
\(+(2 i+1)=((2 n+2 i)-1)\)
As \(b\) is even \(b=m+\) an even number \(\quad=(2 n\)
\(+2 \mathrm{j})\), and \(=(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{j})\)
As c is odd \(\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{m}+\) an odd number \(=2 \mathrm{n}\)
\(+(2 \mathrm{k}+1)=((2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k})+1)\)
\(\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{n}}=((2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})+\mathbf{1})^{\mathrm{n}}\)
    \(=(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{nC}_{1}(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})^{(\mathrm{n}-1)} \times 1^{1}+\)
\(\mathrm{nC}_{2}(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})^{(\mathrm{n}-2)} \mathrm{x} 1^{2}+\)
        \(\mathrm{nC}_{3}(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})^{(\mathrm{n}-3)} \times 1^{3}+\ldots\)
\(b^{n}=(2 n+2 j)^{n}\)
\(c^{n}=((2 n+2 k)+1)^{n}\)
    \(=(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k})^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{nC}_{1}(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k})^{(\mathrm{n}-1)} \mathrm{x} 1^{1}+\mathrm{nC}_{2}(2 \mathrm{n}+\)
\(2 \mathrm{k})^{(\mathrm{n}-2)} \times 1^{2}+\)
    \(\mathrm{nC}_{3}(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k})^{(\mathrm{n}-3)} \times 1^{3}+\ldots\)
\(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}}=\)
    \(=\left[(2 n+2 i)^{n}-(2 n+2 j)^{n}\right]+n C_{1}\left[(2 n+2 i)^{(n-1)}-\right.\)
\(\left.(2 n+2 k)^{(n-1)}\right]+\)
    \(\mathrm{nC}_{2}\left[(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})^{(\mathrm{n}-2)}-(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k})^{(\mathrm{n}-2)}\right]+\)
    \(\mathbf{n C}_{3}\left[(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{i})^{(\mathrm{n}-3)}-(2 \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{k})^{(\mathrm{n}-3)}\right]+\ldots\)
                                    Equation \{7\}
```

The terms on the right hand side are grouped two at a time, the first one positive and the
second is negative. As the variable $i$ is greater than the variable j and the variable k , all the grouped terms are positive for all n greater than 2 . Such terms are added together to get the value of $a^{n}-b^{n}$ $-c^{n}$. So it can never be zero, and the value of $a^{n}-$ $b^{n}-c^{n}$ increases with the value of $n$. The zero-value turning point has already occurred for $\mathrm{n}=2$ and m $=2$, for no other value of $n$ and $m$ greater than 2 can have a zero-value turning point for $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$.

When there is no zero-value turning point for $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$, when $n$ is greater than $2, a^{n}=b^{n}+$ $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}}$ also cannot be true for n greater than $2-$ proving Fermat's last theorem.

> It can be stated that for $m=2$ and all its nonzero multiples, the zero-value turning point for ( $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ ) can occur for one and only one value of $n$, that is for $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}$ for the minimum set of values of $a, b$, and $c$ which depend on $n$. This is KESAVAN NAIR's Postulate number two for zero-value turning points.

## II. CONCLUSION

As the right hand side of the Equation $\{\mathbf{5}\}$ and Equation $\{7\}$ has only positive terms, when n increases, the turning point value $\left(\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}\right.$ ) also increases as the number of terms increase, making no two turning point values equal when $\mathbf{n}$ is greater than 2 . This is true not only for the very first turning point but also for all other turning points obtained in the worked out examples.

This means that $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}=0$ does not exist for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2 . In other words, the equality $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}=\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ does not exist for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2 proving Fermat's theorem.

## III. APPENDIX 1

## Worked out examples

For brevity, the cases considered below are for values of $\mathbf{n}$ from 2 to 5 , and values of $\mathbf{c}$ from 3 to 15 . The method to get the turning point values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ is given below. 5, 4, 3 is the very first zero-value turning point for $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2}$ and the number of steps needed to reach it is $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$.

| $\mathbf{n} \mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{2}+\mathbf{c}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{2}-\mathbf{b}^{2}-\mathbf{c}^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 25 |

Increasing $\mathbf{c}$ by 2 , also increases $\mathbf{a}$ by $2, \mathbf{b}$ by 2 , as $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}$, keeping $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ mutually prime and different, and the difference $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$ increasing by 2 . The value of $\mathbf{m}$ becomes 4 . The starting values for $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}$, are $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}$ and $a=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}$. For the $1^{\text {st }}$ step, the value of $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ is $\mathbf{7}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{- \mathbf { 6 } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } } - \mathbf { 5 } ^ { \mathbf { 2 } } = - 1 2 \text { and }}$ not a turning point as turning point is the value for which the equation turns positive for the very first time. The next value of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, are got by adding two to $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ and keeping $\mathbf{c}$ without change to keep $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}$ $+c$ ) - a same at 4 . The value of $\mathbf{a}^{2}-\mathbf{b}^{2}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ is negative. The step of adding 2 to $\mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{b}$, is repeated. At step 4 , an equality turning point value is got for $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{1 2}$, and $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{5}$.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 49 | 36 | 25 | 61 | -12 |
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| 2 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 81 | 64 | 25 | 89 | -8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 121 | 100 | 25 | 125 | -4 |
| 2 | 4 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Any further increase in $\mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{b}$, will make the difference more positive as the difference steadily increases with every step.

To get another turning point, $\mathbf{c}$ has to be increased by 2 to 7 . The value of $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a}$, becomes 8 and 9 as $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1}$. The value of $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}$, becomes 6 , the next even number. The value for $\mathbf{a}^{2}-\mathbf{b}^{2}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ becomes $\mathbf{9}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{8}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{7}^{\mathbf{2}}=-32$. Repeating the increase of $a$ and $b$ by two at ninth step an equality turning point is got at $\mathbf{a}=25, \mathbf{b}=24$, and $\mathbf{c}=7$.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 81 | 64 | 49 | 113 | -32 |
| 2 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 121 | 100 | 49 | 149 | -28 |
| 2 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 169 | 144 | 49 | 193 | -24 |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 225 | 196 | 49 | 245 | -20 |
| 2 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 16 | 7 | 289 | 256 | 49 | 305 | -16 |
| 2 | 6 | 6 | 19 | 18 | 7 | 361 | 324 | 49 | 373 | -12 |
| 2 | 6 | 7 | 21 | 20 | 7 | 441 | 400 | 49 | 449 | -8 |
| 2 | 6 | 8 | 23 | 22 | 7 | 529 | 484 | 49 | 533 | -4 |
| 2 | 6 | $\mathbf{9} 25$ | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |

Here is one more example to clarify the steps required to find the zero-value turning points. Increase c by 2 to make it 9 . The initial and smallest value of b and a become 10 and 11 . The turning point value got is negative, ie. -60 . Repeating the steps of increasing $a$ and $b$ by 2 , at step number 16 an equality turning is got for $a=41, b=40$, and $c=9$.

|  | m k a b | c | $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{c}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}$ | $a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 811110 | 9 | 121 | 100 | 81 | 181 | -60 |
| 2 | 821312 | 9 | 169 | 144 | 81 | 225 | -56 |
| 2 | 831514 | 9 | 225 | 196 | 81 | 277 | -52 |
| 2 | 841716 | 9 | 289 | 256 | 81 | 337 | -48 |
| 2 | 851918 | 9 | 361 | 324 | 81 | 405 | -44 |
| 2 | 862120 | 9 | 441 | 400 | 81 | 481 | -40 |
| 2 | 872322 | 9 | 529 | 484 | 81 | 565 | -36 |
| 2 | 882524 | 9 | 625 | 576 | 81 | 657 | -32 |
| 2 | 892726 | 9 | 729 | 676 | 81 | 757 | -28 |
| 2 | 8102928 | 9 | 841 | 784 | 81 | 865 | -24 |
| 2 | 8113130 | 9 | 961 | 900 | 81 | 981 | -20 |
| 2 | 8123332 | 9 | 1089 | 1024 | 81 | 1105 | -16 |
| 2 | 8133534 | 9 | 1225 | 1156 | 81 | 1237 | -12 |
| 2 | 8143736 | 9 | 1369 | 1296 | 81 | 1377 | -8 |
| 2 | 8153938 | 9 | 1521 | 1444 | 81 | 1525 | -4 |
| 2 | 8164140 | 9 | 1681 | 1600 | 81 | 1681 | 0 |

Another interesting point to note in the case of $\mathrm{n}=2$ is that the number of steps required to get the next turning point is predictable. For example, the next turning point value will take 25 steps. The equation is $\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{k}$ which is equal to $16+9=25$.

| m | k a b c | $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{b}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | 1131211 | 169 | 144 | 121 | 265 | -96 |
| 210 | 2151411 | 225 | 196 | 121 | 317 | -92 |
| 210 | 3171611 | 289 | 256 | 121 | 377 | -88 |
| 210 | 4191811 | 361 | 324 | 121 | 445 | -84 |
| 210 | 5212011 | 441 | 400 | 121 | 521 | -80 |
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| 2106232211 | 529 | 484 | 121 | 605 | -76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2107252411 | 625 | 576 | 121 | 697 | -72 |
| 2108272611 | 729 | 676 | 121 | 797 | -68 |
| 2109292811 | 841 | 784 | 121 | 905 | -64 |
| 21010313011 | 961 | 900 | 121 | 1021 | -60 |
| 21011333211 | 1089 | 1024 | 121 | 1145 | -56 |
| 21012353411 | 1225 | 1156 | 121 | 1277 | -52 |
| 21013373611 | 1369 | 1296 | 121 | 1417 | -48 |
| 21014393811 | 1521 | 1444 | 121 | 1565 | -44 |
| 21015414011 | 1681 | 1600 | 121 | 1721 | -40 |
| 21016434211 | 1849 | 1764 | 121 | 1885 | -36 |
| 21017454411 | 2025 | 1936 | 121 | 2057 | -32 |
| 21018474611 | 2209 | 2116 | 121 | 2237 | -28 |
| 21019494811 | 2401 | 2304 | 121 | 2425 | -24 |
| 21020515011 | 2601 | 2500 | 121 | 2621 | -20 |
| 21021535211 | 2809 | 2704 | 121 | 2825 | -16 |
| 21022555411 | 3025 | 2916 | 121 | 3037 | -12 |
| 21023575611 | 3249 | 3136 | 121 | 3257 | -8 |
| 21024595811 | 3481 | 3364 | 121 | 3485 | -4 |
| 21025616011 | 3721 | 3600 | 121 | 3721 | 0 |

The next equality turning point will be got at step $25+11=36$.

| n m k a b c | $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{2}$ | $c^{2}$ | $b^{2}+c^{2} a^{2}$ | $a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2121151413 | 225 | 196 | 169 | 365 | -140 |
| 2122171613 | 289 | 256 | 169 | 425 | -136 |
| 2123191813 | 361 | 324 | 169 | 493 | -132 |
| 2124212013 | 441 | 400 | 169 | 569 | -128 |
| 2125232213 | 529 | 484 | 169 | 653 | -124 |
| 2126252413 | 625 | 576 | 169 | 745 | -120 |
| 2127272613 | 729 | 676 | 169 | 845 | -116 |
| 2128292813 | 841 | 784 | 169 | 953 | -112 |
| 2129313013 | 961 | 900 | 169 | 1069 | -108 |
| 21210333213 | 1089 | 1024 | 169 | 1193 | -104 |
| 21211353413 | 1225 | 1156 | 169 | 1325 | -100 |
| 21212373613 | 1369 | 1296 | 169 | 1465 | -96 |
| 21213393813 | 1521 | 1444 | 169 | 1613 | -92 |
| 21214414013 | 1681 | 1600 | 169 | 1769 | -88 |
| 21215434213 | 1849 | 1764 | 169 | 1933 | -84 |
| 21216454413 | 2025 | 1936 | 169 | 2105 | -80 |
| 21217474613 | 2209 | 2116 | 169 | 2285 | -76 |
| 21218494813 | 2401 | 2304 | 169 | 2473 | -72 |
| 21219515013 | 2601 | 2500 | 169 | 2669 | -68 |
| 21220535213 | 2809 | 2704 | 169 | 2873 | -64 |
| 21221555413 | 3025 | 2916 | 169 | 3085 | -60 |
| 21222575613 | 3249 | 3136 | 169 | 3305 | -56 |
| 21223595813 | 3481 | 3364 | 169 | 3533 | -52 |
| 21224616013 | 3721 | 3600 | 169 | 3769 | -48 |
| 21225636213 | 3969 | 3844 | 169 | 4013 | -44 |
| 21226656413 | 4225 | 4096 | 169 | 4265 | -40 |
| 21227676613 | 4489 | 4356 | 169 | 4525 | -36 |
| 21228696813 | 4761 | 4624 | 169 | 4793 | -32 |
| 21229717013 | 5041 | 4900 | 169 | 5069 | -28 |
| 21230737213 | 5329 | 5184 | 169 | 5353 | -24 |
| 21231757413 | 5625 | 5476 | 169 | 5645 | -20 |


| 21232777613 | 5929 | 5776 | 169 | 5945 | -16 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 21233797813 | 6241 | 6084 | 169 | 6253 | -12 |
| 21234818013 | 6561 | 6400 | 169 | 6569 | -8 |
| 21235838213 | 6889 | 6724 | 169 | 6893 | -4 |
| $\mathbf{2 1 2 3 6 8 5 8 4 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |

One subsequent turning point is when k is equal to $36+13=49$.

```
nmk a b c a a m b
```



Here, we are ending the calculation for $\mathbf{n}=2$ with value of $\mathbf{c}=15$.
Using the above method for obtaining zero-value turning point values, it can be shown that for all odd values of $\mathbf{c}$ from 3 to infinity, there exists a zero-value turning point for $\mathbf{a}^{2}-\mathbf{b}^{2}-\mathbf{c}^{2}$ for mutually prime values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$.

The mutually prime turning point values of $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ obtained for $\mathbf{m}=2$ together with the ones got for all non-zero multiples of $m$, cover all the possible zero-value turning points for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{n}=2$.

## Case when $\mathrm{n}=3$

Now let us consider the case when $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3}$. Let us start with the smallest value of $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{- 2}=\mathbf{4}$.
Now, $\mathrm{c}=5, \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{c}+1=6, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}+1=7$. Here, we get the first positive-value turning point as 2 for $\mathrm{n}=3$ and $\mathrm{m}=$ 4. For the previous values of $a=5, b=4$, and $c=5,\left(\mathbf{a}^{3}-\mathbf{b}^{3}-\mathbf{c}^{3}\right)$ is negative. The number of steps $k=1$.

| n |  |  | k | a | b |  | $\mathrm{a}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{3}$ | $b^{3}+c^{3}{ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 343 | 216 | 125 | 341 |  |

To get a subsequent turning point, the value of $\mathbf{c}$ has to be increased by 2 thereby increasing $\mathbf{c}$ to $7, \mathbf{b}$ to 8 and a, to 9 , and $\mathbf{m}$ to $\mathbf{6}$. The turning point $\left(\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)=126$ is got at step 3 with values of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c as 13,12 and 7. The value of $m=2 n-2=6$.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 3 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 729 | 512 | 343 | 855 | -126 |
| 3 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 1331 | 1000 | 343 | 1343 | -12 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |

Repeating the step of incrementing $\mathbf{c}$ by 2 yields $\mathbf{a}=11, \mathbf{b}=10, \mathbf{c}=9$, and $\mathbf{m}=(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})-\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{8}$. The turning point value for $\left(\mathbf{a}^{3}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ is got as 88 at step 4 with values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ as $\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{1 6}$, and $\mathbf{9}$ respectively.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 1331 | 1000 | 729 | 1729 | -398 |
| 3 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 2197 | 1728 | 729 | 2457 | -260 |
| 3 | 8 | 3 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 3375 | 2744 | 729 | 3473 | -98 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ |

Repeating the step of incrementing $\mathbf{c}$ by 2 yields $\mathrm{a}=13, \mathrm{~b}=12, \mathrm{c}=11$, and $\mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})-\mathrm{a}=8$. The turning point value for $\left(\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ is got as 188 at step 6 with values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ as 23,22, and $\mathbf{1 1}$ respectively.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 2197 | 1728 | 1331 | 3059 | -862 |
| 3 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 3375 | 2744 | 1331 | 4075 | -700 |
| 3 | 10 | 3 | 17 | 16 | 11 | 4913 | 4096 | 1331 | 5427 | -514 |
| 3 | 10 | 4 | 19 | 18 | 11 | 6859 | 5832 | 1331 | 7163 | -304 |
| 3 | 10 | 5 | 21 | 20 | 11 | 9261 | 8000 | 1331 | 9331 | -70 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 1 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ |

Repeating the step of incrementing c by 2 yields $\mathrm{a}=15, \mathrm{~b}=14, \mathrm{c}=13$, and $\mathrm{m}=(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})-\mathrm{a}=12$. The turning point value for $\left(\mathbf{a}^{3}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ is go as 240 at step 8 with values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ as 29, 28, and $\mathbf{1 3}$ respectively.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 12 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 3375 | 2744 | 2197 | 4941 |$-1566$

Repeating the step of incrementing $\mathbf{c}$ by 2 yields $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{1 5}$, and $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{( b + c})-\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{1 4}$. The turning point value for $\left(\mathbf{a}^{3}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ is got as 196 at step 10 with values for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ as 35,34 , and 15 respectively.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 14 | 1 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 4913 | 4096 | 3375 | 7471 | -2558 |
| 3 | 14 | 2 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 6859 | 5832 | 3375 | 9207 | -2348 |
| 3 | 14 | 3 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 9261 | 8000 | 3375 | 11375 | -2114 |
| 3 | 14 | 4 | 23 | 22 | 15 | 12167 | 10648 | 3375 | 14023 | -1856 |
| 3 | 14 | 5 | 25 | 24 | 15 | 15625 | 13824 | 3375 | 17199 | -1574 |
| 3 | 14 | 6 | 27 | 26 | 15 | 19683 | 17576 | 3375 | 20951 | -1268 |
| 3 | 14 | 7 | 29 | 28 | 15 | 24389 | 21952 | 3375 | 25327 | -938 |
| 3 | 14 | 8 | 31 | 30 | 15 | 29791 | 27000 | 3375 | 30375 | -584 |
| 3 | 14 | 9 | 33 | 32 | 15 | 35937 | 32768 | 3375 | 36143 | -206 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 3 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 6}$ |

## Case when $\mathrm{n}=4$

For $n=4$, we can carry out the above steps to get turning point values for $a, b$, and $c$. Only when the value of $\mathbf{m}$ $=2 n-2=6$. The value of $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{8}$, and $\mathbf{a}=9$. The very first turning point is got.
n mkabce $a^{4} \quad b^{4} \quad c^{4} \quad b^{4}+c^{4} a^{4}-b^{4}-c^{4}$
$461987656140962401 \quad 6497 \quad 64$
Increasing c to 9 , leads to a turning point value at step 2 for $\mathrm{n}=4$.

|  | m | k a |  | $\mathrm{a}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{4}$ | $c^{4}$ | $b^{4}+c^{4} a^{4}$ | - c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 8 | 11110 | 9 | 14641 | 10000 | 6561 | 16561 | -1920 |
| 4 | 8 | 21312 | 9 | 28561 | 20736 | 6561 | 27297 | 1264 |

Increasing c to 11 , leads to a turning point value at step 3 for $\mathrm{n}=4$.

|  | $\mathrm{a}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{b}^{4}$ | c |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101131211 | 28561 | 20736 | 14641 | 35377 | -681 |
| 02151411 | 50625 | 38416 | 146 | 5305 | -243 |
| 1103171611 | 83521 | 65536 | 14641 | 801 |  |

Increasing c to 13 , leads to a turning point value at step 4 for $\mathrm{n}=4$.

| $\mathbf{n} \mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{4}}$ |  | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{4}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 412 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 50625 | 38416 | 28561 | 66977 |
| 412 | 217 | 16 | 13 | 83521 | 65536 | 28561 | 94097 | -10576 |
| 412 | 319 | 18 | 13 | 130321 | 104976 | 28561 | 133537 | -3216 |
| 412 | 421 | 20 | 13 | 194481 | 160000 | 28561 | 188561 | 5920 |

Increasing $c$ to 15 , leads to a turning point value at step 5 for $n=4$. So, in the case when $n=4$, the number of steps required for the next turning point is predictable.

| n mka b c | a | b | c |  | - $\mathrm{c}^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4141171615 | 83521 | 65536 | 50625 | 116161 | -32640 |
| 4142191815 | 130321 | 104976 | 50625 | 155601 | -25280 |
| 4143212015 | 194481 | 160000 | 50625 | 210625 | -16144 |
| 4144232215 | 279841 | 234256 | 50625 | 284881 | -5040 |
| 4145252415 | 390625 | 331776 | 50625 | 382401 | 8224 |
| Case when $\mathrm{n}=5$ |  |  |  |  |  |

For $\mathrm{n}=5$, we can carry out the above steps to get turning point values for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c . The value of $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{- 2}=$ 8. The very first proper turning point is got when $m=8$ for $n=5$, as that is the minimum required value for $m$ for $\mathrm{n}=5$. The value of $\mathrm{c}=9, \mathrm{~b}=10$, and $\mathrm{a}=11$.

```
nmk a b c a a cob b
5
```

Increasing $\mathbf{c}$ to 11 finds a turning point at step 2 for $\mathbf{n}=5$.

```
nmka b c a m
5 101131211 371293 248832 161051 409883 -38590
5102151411 759375 537824 161051 698875 60500
```

Increasing $\mathbf{c}$ to 13 finds a turning point at step 3 for $\mathbf{n}=5$ and $\mathbf{m}=12$.

```
nmk a b c a cor b
5121151413 759375 537824 371293 909117 -149742
5122171613 1419857 1048576 371293 1419869 0
5123191813 24760991889568 371293 2260861 215238
```

Increasing $\mathbf{c}$ to 15 finds a turning point at step 3 for $\mathrm{n}=5$ and $\mathrm{m}=14$. No pattern is recognised here. Maybe, we can find some pattern if we consider more cases.

```
nmka b c a collob
5141117161514198571048576 7593751807951 -388094
5142191815 24760991889568 759375 2648943-172844
514321201540841013200000 759375 3959375 124726
```

For other values of $\mathrm{n}>5$ also, we can find points following the above method. The turning point value steadily increases for every increase in the value of $n$, thereby making it impossible for $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}=0$ for $\mathbf{n}$ greater than 2.

## Summary of results

For $\mathbf{n}=2$, the value of $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n - 2 = 2}$. The first turning point values for $a, b$, and are 5,4 , and 3 . When $\mathbf{c}$ is incremented by 2 , a new set of values for $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c are obtained. Incrementing of c is carried out up to $\mathrm{c}=$ 15 and results shown below.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{2}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{2}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{2} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{\mathbf { b } ^ { 2 }} \mathbf{- \mathbf { c } ^ { 2 }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 16 | 9 | 25 | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 169 | 144 | 25 | 169 | 0 |
| 2 | 6 | 3 | 25 | 24 | 7 | 625 | 576 | 49 | 625 | 0 |
| 2 | 8 | 4 | 41 | 40 | 9 | 1681 | 1600 | 81 | 1681 | 0 |
| 2 | 10 | 5 | 61 | 60 | 11 | 3721 | 3600 | 121 | 3721 | 0 |
| 2 | 12 | 6 | 85 | 84 | 13 | 7225 | 7056 | 169 | 7225 | 0 |
| 2 | 14 | 7 | 113 | 112 | 15 | 12769 | 12544 | 225 | 12769 | 0 |

For $\mathrm{n}=3$, the value of $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n} \mathbf{- 2}=\mathbf{4}$. The first turning point values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are 7,6 , and 5 . The positiveturning point value is 2 . When $\mathbf{c}$ is incremented by 2 , a new set of values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are obtained. A turning
point is got at the $3^{\text {rd }}$ step of incrementing $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. Incrementing of $\mathbf{c}$ is carried out up to $\mathrm{c}=15$ and results shown below.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{3}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{3}}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 343 | 216 | 125 | 341 |
| 3 | 6 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 2197 | 1728 | 343 | 2071 |
| 3 | 8 | 3 | 17 | 16 | 9 | 4913 | 4096 | 729 | 4825 |
| 3 | 10 | 4 | 23 | 22 | 11 | 12167 | 10648 | 1331 | 11979 |
| 3 | 12 | 5 | 29 | 28 | 13 | 24389 | 21952 | 2197 | 24149 |
| 3 | 14 | 6 | 35 | 34 | 15 | 42875 | 39304 | 3375 | 42679 |

For $\mathrm{n}=4$, the value of $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{6}$. The first turning point values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are 9,8 , and 7 . The positiveturning point value is 64 . When $\mathbf{c}$ is incremented by 2 , a new set of values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are obtained. Incrementing of $\mathbf{c}$ is carried out up to $\mathrm{c}=15$ and results shown below.

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$ | $\mathbf{c}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{4}}+\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{4}}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6561 | 4096 | 2401 |
| 4 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 6497 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 10 | 3 | 17 | 28561 | 20736 | 6561 | 27297 | 1264 |
| 4 | 12 | 4 | 21 | 83521 | 65536 | 14641 | 80177 | 3344 |
| 4 | 14 | 5 | 25 | 13 | 194481 | 160000 | 28561 | 188561 |

For $\mathrm{n}=5$, the value of $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{2 n}-\mathbf{2}=\mathbf{8}$. The first turning point values for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are 11,10 , and 9 . The positive-turning point value is 2002 . When $\mathbf{c}$ is incremented by 2 , a new set of values for $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$ are obtained. Incrementing of $\mathbf{c}$ is carried out up to $\mathrm{c}=15$ and results shown below.

| n | m | k | a | b | c | $\mathrm{a}^{5}$ | $\mathrm{~b}^{5}$ | $c^{5}$ | $b^{5}+c^{5}$ | $a^{5}-b^{5}-c^{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 161051 | 100000 | 59049 | 159049 | 2002 |
| 5 | 10 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 759375 | 537824 | 161051 | 698875 | 60500 |
| 5 | 12 | 3 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 2476099 | 1889568 | 371293 | 2260861 | 215238 |
| 5 | 14 | 4 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 4084101 | 3200000 | 759375 | 3959375 | 124726 |

For all n greater than 2, the turning point values are different and greater than zero.

## Appendix 2

The turning point nature of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$
There are two methods to demonstrate the turning point nature of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$. In the first method a, and $b$ are altered by two, keeping $c$ constant so that the difference $b+c-a$ remains constant as well as keep $a, b$, and $c$ mutually prime. Here it is found that $(a-2)^{n}-(b-2)^{n}-c^{n}$ is negative and $(a+2)^{n}-(b+2)^{n}-c^{n}$ is positive. The value of $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ lies between $(\mathrm{a}-2)^{\mathrm{n}}-(\mathrm{b}-2)^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $(\mathrm{a}+2)^{\mathrm{n}}-(\mathrm{b}+2)^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}}$ for the turning point values of $a, b$, and $c$. At the turning point values of $a, b$, and $c$, the value $\mathbf{a}^{n}-b^{n}-\mathbf{c}^{n}$ becomes positive, (or zero in the case of $n=2$ ), for the very first time.

## Method1 - numerical examples 1

Method1: Case $1 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{a}=5 \mathrm{~b}=4 \quad \mathrm{c}=3$

```
(a-2)=3;(b-2) = 2; c = 3;a=5;b=4; c = 3; (a+2)=7; (b+2)=6; c = 3;
(a-2)
\mp@subsup{a}{}{2}
(a+2)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-(b+2\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=\mp@subsup{7}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{6}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{3}{}{2}=49-36-9= = 4
```

Method1: Case $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{a}=13 \mathrm{~b}=12 \mathrm{c}=5$

```
(a-2)=11;(b-2)=10;c=5;a=13;b=12;c=5;(a+2)=15;(b+2)=14; c= 5;
(a-2)2}-(b-2\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=1\mp@subsup{1}{}{2}-1\mp@subsup{0}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{5}{}{2}=121-100-25=-4
\mp@subsup{a}{}{2}}\mathrm{ - b}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{b}}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=1\mp@subsup{3}{}{2}-1\mp@subsup{2}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{5}{}{2}=169-144-25=0
(a+2)2}-(b+2\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=1\mp@subsup{5}{}{2}-1\mp@subsup{4}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{5}{}{2}=225-196-25=4
```

Method1: Case $3 \mathbf{n}=2 \mathbf{a}=25 \quad b=24 \quad \mathbf{c}=7$
$(\mathrm{a}-2)=23 ;(\mathrm{b}-2)=22 ; \mathrm{c}=7 ; \mathrm{a}=25 ; \mathrm{b}=24 ; \mathrm{c}=7 ;(\mathrm{a}+2)=27 ;(\mathrm{b}+2)=26 ; \mathrm{c}=7$;

```
(a-2)2}-(b-2\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=23\mp@subsup{3}{}{2}-2\mp@subsup{2}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{7}{}{2}=529-484-49 = -4
a}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{b}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=2\mp@subsup{5}{}{2}-2\mp@subsup{4}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{\mathbf{7}}{}{2}=\mathbf{625}-576-49=0
(a+2)2}-(b+2\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{c}{}{2}=2\mp@subsup{7}{}{2}-2\mp@subsup{6}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{7}{}{2}=729-676-49=4
```

Method1: Case $4 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{a}=41 \quad \mathrm{~b}=40 \quad \mathrm{c}=9$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (a-2)=39 ;(b-2)=38 ; c=9 ; a=41 ; b=40 ; c=9 ;(a+2)=43 ;(b+2)=42 ; c=9 ; \\
& (a-2)^{2}-(b-2)^{2}-c^{2}=39^{2}-38^{2}-9^{2}=1521-1444-81=-4 \\
& \mathbf{a}^{2}-\mathbf{b}^{2}-\mathbf{c}^{2}=\mathbf{4 1}^{2}-\mathbf{4 0}^{2}-\mathbf{9}^{2}=\mathbf{1 6 8 1}-\mathbf{1 6 0 0}-\mathbf{8 1}=\mathbf{0} ; \\
& (a+2)^{2}-(b+2)^{2}-c^{2}=43^{2}-42^{2}-9^{2}=1849-1764-81=4 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

## Method1: Case $5 \mathrm{n}=\mathbf{3 a}=7 \quad \mathrm{~b}=6 \mathrm{c}=5$

$(\mathrm{a}-2)=5 ;(\mathrm{b}-2)=4 ; \mathrm{c}=5 ; \mathrm{a}=7 ; \mathrm{b}=6 ; \mathrm{c}=5 ;(\mathrm{a}+2)=9 ;(\mathrm{b}+2)=8 ; \mathrm{c}=5$;

| $(\mathrm{a}-2)^{3}-(\mathrm{b}-2)^{3}-\mathrm{c}^{3}$ | $=5^{3}-4^{3}-5^{3}=125-64-125=-64 ;$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}^{3}-\mathbf{b}^{3}-\mathbf{c}^{3}$ | $=\mathbf{7}^{3}-\mathbf{6}^{3}-\mathbf{5}^{3}=\mathbf{3 4 3}-\mathbf{2 1 6}-\mathbf{1 2 5}=\mathbf{2} ;$ |
| $(\mathrm{a}+2)^{3}-(\mathrm{b}+2)^{3}-\mathrm{c}^{3}$ | $=9^{3}-8^{3}-5^{3}=729-512-125=92 ;$ |

Method1: Case $6 \mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{a}=13 \mathrm{~b}=12 \quad \mathrm{c}=7$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{a}-2)=11 ;(\mathrm{b}-2)=10 \mathrm{c}=7 ; \mathrm{a}=13 ; \mathrm{b}=12 ; \mathrm{c}=7 ;(\mathrm{a}+2)=15 ;(\mathrm{b}+2)=14 ; \mathrm{c}=7 ; \\
& (\mathrm{a}-2)^{3}-(\mathrm{b}-2)^{3}-\mathrm{c}^{3}=11^{3}-10^{3}-7^{3}=1331-1000-343=-12 ; \\
& \mathbf{a}^{3}-\mathbf{b}^{3}-\mathbf{c}^{3} \\
& =\mathbf{1 3}^{3}-\mathbf{1 2}^{3}-\mathbf{7}^{3}=\mathbf{2 1 9 7}-\mathbf{1 7 2 8}-\mathbf{3 4 3}=\mathbf{1 2 6 ;} \\
& (\mathrm{a}+2)^{3}-(\mathrm{b}+2)^{3}-\mathrm{c}^{3} \\
& =15^{3}-14^{3}-7^{3}=3375-2744-343=288 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Method1: Case $7 \mathrm{n}=4 \mathbf{a}=9 \quad \mathrm{~b}=8 \quad \mathrm{c}=7$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{a}-2)=7 ;(\mathrm{b}-2)=6 \mathrm{c}=7 ; \mathrm{a}=9 ; \mathrm{b}=8 ; \mathrm{c}=7 ;(\mathrm{a}+2)=11 ;(\mathrm{b}+2)=10 ; \mathrm{c}=7 ; \\
& (\mathrm{a}-2)^{4}-(\mathrm{b}-2)^{4}-\mathrm{c}^{4}=7^{4}-6^{4}-7^{4}=2401-1296-2401=-1296 ; \\
& \mathbf{a}^{4}-\underset{\mathbf{b}^{4}-\mathbf{c}^{4}}{ }=\mathbf{9}^{4}-\mathbf{8}^{4}-\mathbf{7}^{4}=\mathbf{6 5 6 1}-\mathbf{4 0 9 6}-\mathbf{2 4 0 1}=\mathbf{6 4 ;} ; \\
& (\mathrm{a}+2)^{4}-(\mathrm{b}+2)^{4}-\mathrm{c}^{4}=11^{4}-10^{4}-\mathbf{7}^{4}=14641-10000-2401 \quad=2240 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

All the above examples clearly demonstrate the turning point nature of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$. The value of $\mathbf{a}^{n}-\mathbf{b}^{n}$ $-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ lies between $(a-2)^{n}-(b-2)^{n}-c^{n}$ and $(a+2)^{n}-(b+2)^{n}-c^{n}$ for the turning point values of $a$, $b$, and $c$. It also demonstrates that for $n=2$ only the turning point is zero. For values of $n=3$, and $n=4$, the turning point values are always greater than zero. It is possible to get examples for all other values of $n$ and the results will be the same.

The turning point nature of $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{n}}$

## Method2 - numerical examples 2

In Method 2, $a, b, c$ are not altered. Here it is found that the turning point value of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ lies between $a^{n-1}-b^{n-1}-c^{n-1}$ and $a^{n+1}-b^{n+1}-c^{n+1}$. Here also, it is found that at the turning point values of $a$, $b$, and $c, a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}=0$, for $n=2$. For all other values of $n, a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ is greater than 0 and different. The turning point value of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$ depends on the value of $n$ and increases with the value of $n$. So, for $n$ greater than 2 , no two turning point values for different n can be equal or be equal to zero. This fact is proved algebraically in my paper.

Method 2: Case $1 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{a}=5 \quad \mathrm{~b}=4 \quad \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{3}$
$a^{(n-1)}=5, \quad b^{(n-1)}=4, c^{(n-1)}=3 a^{n}=25, \quad b^{n}=16, c^{n}=9$,
$\mathrm{a}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=125, \quad \mathrm{~b}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=64, \quad \mathrm{c}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=27$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathbf{a}^{(\mathbf{n}-1)}-\mathbf{b}^{(\mathbf{n}-1)}-\mathbf{c}^{(\mathbf{n}-1)} & = & 5 & - & 4 & -3 \\ \mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}} & = & 25 & -16 & -9 & =-2 ; \\ \end{array}$

```
\mp@subsup{\mathbf{a}}{}{(\mathbf{n+1)}}-\mp@subsup{\mathbf{b}}{}{(\mathbf{n+1)}}-\mp@subsup{\mathbf{c}}{}{(\mathbf{n+1)}}=125-64-27}=34
```

Method 2: Case $2 \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 a = 1 3} \mathbf{b}=12 \quad \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{5}$


Method 2: Case $3 \mathbf{n}=2 \mathbf{a}=25 \quad b=24 \quad c=7$

```
\(a^{(n-1)}=25, b^{(n-1)}=24, c^{(n-1)}=7 \quad a^{n}=625, b^{n}=576, c^{n}=49\),
\(\mathbf{a}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=15625, \quad \mathbf{b}^{(\mathbf{n}+1)}=13824, \quad \mathbf{c}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=343\).
```



Method 2: Case $4 \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 a}=\mathbf{4 1} \quad \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{4 0} \quad \mathbf{c}=9$

```
\(a^{(n-1)}=41, b^{(n-1)}=40, c^{(n-1)}=9 \quad a^{n}=1681, b^{n}=1600, c^{n}=81\),
\(a^{(n+1)}=68921, \quad b^{(n+1)}=64000, \quad c^{(n+1)}=729\).
\(\mathrm{a}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}-\mathrm{b}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}-\mathrm{c}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}=41-40-9=-8 ;\)
\(\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad=1681-1600-81 \quad=\mathbf{0}\)
\(a^{(n+1)}-b^{(n+1)}-c^{(n+1)}=68921-64000-729=4192\);
```

Method 2: Case $5 \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 a = 7} b=6 \quad c=5$
$\mathbf{a}^{(n-1)}=49, \quad b^{(n-1)}=36, \quad c^{(n-1)}=25 \quad a^{n}=343, \quad b^{n}=216, \quad c^{n}=125$,
$a^{(n+1)}=2401, \quad b^{(n+1)}=1296, \quad c^{(n+1)}=625$.

| $a^{(n-1)}-b^{(n-1)}-c^{(n-1)}$ | = |  | 49 |  | 36 |  | 25 | = -12; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ | = |  | 43 |  | 216 | - | 125 | $=2$; |
| $a^{(n+1)}-b^{(n+1)}-c^{(n+1)}$ |  | 2401 | 1 | 12 | 96 |  | 625 | = 480 ; |

Method 2: Case $6 \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 a = 1 3 \quad b = 1 2 \quad c = 7}$
$\mathbf{a}^{(n-1)}=169, b^{(n-1)}=144, c^{(n-1)}=49 a^{n}=2197, b^{n}=1728, c^{n}=343$,
$\mathbf{a}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=28561, \quad \mathbf{b}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=20736, \quad \mathbf{c}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}=2401$.

| $a^{(n-1)}-b^{(n-1)}-c^{(n-1)}$ | $=169-144-49$ | $=-24 ;$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathbf{n}}$ | $=2197-1728-\mathbf{3 4 3}$ | $=\mathbf{1 2 6} ;$ |
| $a^{(n+1)}-b^{(n+1)}-c^{(n+1)}$ | $=28561-20736-2401$ | $=5424 ;$ |

Method 2: Case $7 \mathbf{n}=4 \mathbf{a}=9 \quad \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{8} \quad \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{7}$


All the above examples clearly demonstrate the turning point nature of $a^{n}-b^{n}-c^{n}$. The value of $\mathbf{a}^{n}-$ $\mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{n}}-\mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{n}}$ lies between $\mathrm{a}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}-\mathrm{b}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}-\mathrm{c}^{(\mathrm{n}-1)}$ and $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}+}$ ${ }^{1)}-b^{(n+1)}-c^{(n+1)}$ for the turning point values of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c . It also demonstrates that for $\mathrm{n}=2$ only the turning point value is zero. For values of $n=3$, and $\mathrm{n}=4$, the turning point values are always greater than zero. It is possible to get examples for all other values of n and the results will be the same.

## NOTES AND REFERENCES
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In 1995 Andrew Wiles solved the problem and got the Wolfskehl prize amount of $\$ 50,000$ from Gottingen Academy of Sciences in 1997. Now there is no prize for solving the problem. My aim is to have a record of my research and findings spanning about 38 years. In 2019 I have published one proof in Amazon Kindle Direct Publishing. My second proof is being given to JIMS for making my proof available internationally to present and future mathematicians.
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